28

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4		
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
6		
7	MEIJER, INC. & MEIJER DISTRIBUTION, INC.,	No. C 07-5985 CW
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING
9	v.	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER
10	ABBOTT LABORATORIES,	SEAL (DOCKET NO. 61)
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	ROCHESTER DRUG COOPERATIVE, INC.,	No. C 07-6010 CW
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
15	v.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER
16	ABBOTT LABORATORIES,	SEAL (DOCKET NO. 51)
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	LOUISIANA WHOLESALE DRUG COMPANY,	
20	INC.,	No. C 07-6118 CW
21	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
22	v.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER
23	ABBOTT LABORATORIES,	SEAL (DOCKET NO. 69)
24	Defendant.	
25		/
26	Plaintiffs in the above-captioned cases have moved for leave	
27	_	

to file under seal portions of their brief in support of their

motion for class certification, as well as the entire supporting declaration of Hal Singer, Ph.D. These documents contain information that Defendant has designated as confidential. Defendant has filed a declaration in support of Plaintiffs' request.

Because the public interest favors filing all court documents in the public record, any party seeking to file a document under seal must demonstrate good cause to do so. This cannot be established simply by showing that the document is subject to a protective order, but rather must be supported by a sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to file each document under seal. See Local Rule 79-5(a). If good cause exists only to file portions of a particular document under seal, a redacted version of the document must be filed in the public record. Local Rule 79-5(c).

Defendant has submitted a redacted version of the Singer declaration, stating that it does not oppose the public filing of the information it has left unredacted. The redacted information, along with the redacted portions of Plaintiffs' brief, contain sensitive information concerning Defendant's pricing decisions. The Court finds that good cause exists to file the redacted portions of the documents under seal.<sup>2</sup>

Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motions for leave to file under seal

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ A "compelling interest" standard applies to documents filed in support of or opposition to a dispositive motion. <u>Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n</u>, 504 F.3d 792, 801-03 (9th Cir. 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This finding does not establish that the information will necessarily be sealable in connection with future filings.

## 

For the Northern District of California

**United States District Court** 

are GRANTED. The clerk shall file the unredacted brief and declaration under seal. Plaintiffs shall file the redacted version of the declaration via ECF using a title substantially similar to, "Class Certification Declaration of Hal Singer, Ph.D. (Redacted)." IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/12/08

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

Chrolistillen